

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,626	03/29/2004	Stefano Benedetto Previdi	77366	2707
²⁶³²⁷ THE LAW OF	7590 09/25/2007 FICE OF KIRK D. WIL	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 61538			SIKRI, ANISH	
DENVER, CO 80206-8538			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2143	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			. 09/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

MN

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/811,626	PREVIDI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Anish Sikri	2143			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 M</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(a)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/23/06, 1/21/06	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement submitted on 1/23/06 and 1/21/06 been considered by the Examiner and made of record in the application file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 to 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(b), as being unpatentable over Dravida et al (US Pat 5,253,248).

Consider Claim 1, Dravida et al discloses computer-readable medium containing computer-executable instructions for performing steps for updating routing information, said steps comprising: maintaining one or more sets of routing information (Dravida et al, Col 4, Lines 59-68, Col 5 Lines 1-5, 6-41, 45-63);

receiving a network topology change indication, the network topology change indication being one of a progressive series of network changes with at least one more associated

Art Unit: 2143

network topology change indication of the progressive series of network changes expected to be received in the future (Dravida et al, Col 4, Lines 59-68, Col 1-5); computing an updated set of routing information based on the network topology change indication (Dravida et al, Col 4, Lines 59-68, Col 5 Lines 1-5); determining whether or not the updated set of routing information changes nexthop information for one or more routes (Dravida et al, Col 4, Lines 59-68, Col 1-5); and in response to determining that the new set of routing information does not change nexthop information for said one or more routes and said expectation of said at least one more associated network topology change indication of the progressive series of network changes to be received in the future, not updating said one or more sets of routing information based on the updated set of routing information (Dravida et al, Col 4, Lines 59-68, Col 5 Lines 1-5, 6-41, 45-63). It clearly shows on routes are updated along with network topology changes.

Consider Claim 2, Dravida et al discloses the computer-readable medium of claim 1, wherein said steps comprise: in response to determining that the new set of routing information does change nexthop information for said one or more routes, updating said one or more sets of routing information based on the updated set of routing information (Dravida et al, Col 4, Lines 59-68, Col 5 lines 1-5, 6-41, 45-63). It clearly shows on how routes are updated.

Art Unit: 2143

Consider Claim 3, Dravida et al discloses computer-readable medium of claim 1, wherein the network topology change indication identifies one or more routing metric changes and a value identifying that said at least one more associated network topology change indication of the progressive series of network changes is expected to be received in the future (Dravida et al, Col 3 Lines 20-25, Col 8 Lines 20-25, Col 4, Lines 59-68, Col 5 lines 1-5, 6-41, 45-63). It clearly shows on changes to network topologies are encountered.

Consider Claim 4, Dravida et al discloses computer-readable medium of claim 1, wherein said steps further comprise: in response to identifying a timeout condition corresponding to the updated set of routing information, updating said one or more sets of routing information based on the updated set of routing information (Dravida et al, Col 4, Lines 59-68, Col 1-5, 6-41, 45-63, Col 2 Lines 64-68, Col 3 Lines 1-8). It clearly shows on timeout factors into the routing updates.

Consider **Claim 5**, Dravida et al discloses a method for updating routing information, the method comprising: maintaining a routing information base; receiving a network topology change indication, the network topology change indication including a route update of a progressive series of route updates and an indication to expect one or more route updates of the progressive series of route updates (Dravida et al, Col 4, Lines 59-68, Col 5 lines 1-5, 6-41, 45-63); performing a shortest path first determination based on the route update and the

Art Unit: 2143

routing information base to identify an updated set of routing information (Dravida et al, Col 5 Lines 55-63, Col 5 Lines 10-12);

determining that the updated set of routing information does not change nexthop information for one or more routes included in the routing information base; and in response to said determining that the updated set of routing information does not change nexthop information for one or more routes included in the routing information base and the indication to expect one or more route updates of the progressive series of route updates, not updating said one or more sets of routing information based on the updated set of routing information (Dravida et al, Col 4, Lines 59-68, Col 5 lines 1-5, 6-41, 45-63). It clearly shows on routes are updated along with network topology changes.

Consider Claim 6, Dravida et al discloses the method of claim 5, wherein the network topology change indication corresponds to switching to a computed backup path (Dravida et al, Col 5 Lines 42-45, Col 9, Lines 1-10). It clearly shows on the uses of alternative paths.

Consider Claim 7, Dravida et al discloses the method of claim 5, comprising: in response to identifying a timeout condition corresponding to the updated set of routing information, updating said one or more sets of routing information based on the updated set of routing information (Dravida et al, Col 4, Lines 59-68, Col 1-5, 6-41, 45-63, Col 2

Application/Control Number: 10/811,626 Page 6

Art Unit: 2143

Lines 64-68, Col 3 Lines 1-8). It clearly shows on timeout factors into the routing updates.

Claims 8-13 have similar limitations as to Claims 1-7; therefore they are rejected under the same rational as to claims 1-7.

Art Unit: 2143

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Anish Sikri whose telephone number is 571-270-1783.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8am - 5pm Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Anish Sikri

a.s.

September 12, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

Page 7

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2104